

REMARKS

Claims 1-4 are pending and under consideration in the above-identified application.

In the Office Action of July 25, 2008, claims 1-4 were rejected.

With this Amendment, claim 1 is amended. Accordingly, claims 1-4 are at issue.

I. 35 U.S.C. § 112 ¶ 1 Indefiniteness Rejection of Claims

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph.

With the current amendment, claim 1 is amended to taking into consideration the Examiner's comments. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request this rejection be withdrawn.

II. 35 U.S.C. § 102 Anticipation Rejection of Claims and 35 U.S.C. § 103 Obviousness Rejection of Claims

Claims 1-14 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by *Jang et al.* (U.S. Pat. Pub. No. 2007/0002590) ("*Jang*").

Claims 1-4 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Jang et al.* (U.S. Pat. Pub. No. 2007/0002590) ("*Jang*"). Applicant respectfully traverses these rejections.

This rejection relies on *Jang* with an earliest effective filing date of June 14, 2006. However, the instant application claims priority to the Japanese Patent Application No. 2004-238792 filed August 18, 2004. Applicant submit a certified English language translation of the Japanese priority application to perfect their claim of priority. Accordingly, Applicant submits that *Jang* does not qualify as a prior art reference, and respectfully request the withdrawal of these rejections.

III. Conclusion

In view of the above amendments and remarks, Applicant submits that all claims are clearly allowable over the cited prior art, and respectfully requests early and favorable notification to that effect.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: November 24, 2008

By: /David R. Metzger/
David R. Metzger
Registration No. 32,919
SONNENSCHN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP
P.O. Box 061080
Wacker Drive Station, Sears Tower
Chicago, Illinois 60606-1080
(312) 876-8000